

# ON THE CLOSED GRAPH THEOREM

BY  
S. O. IYAHEN

## ABSTRACT

This is a study of the closed graph theorem for linear mappings from a locally convex space  $E$  into another  $F$ . First we look at the possible spaces  $E$  for fixed  $F$ . Next we fix such  $E$  and look at  $F$ . Finally we study the situation where  $E=F$ .

1. Given a locally convex space  $F$ , we ask for the locally convex spaces  $E$  such that every closed linear map from  $E$  into  $F$  is continuous. In general for such domain space  $E$ , the closed graph theorem holds with the range space  $F_1$  more general than  $F$ , and such spaces  $E$  and  $F_1$  are usually of different types. We then look at the spaces  $E$  such that the closed graph theorem holds for linear mappings of  $E$  into itself. Such play an important role in the study of the spectrum of a mapping on a locally convex space (see for example [11], p. 328, section 2). To such a space can be extended the result of Banach that, if a Banach space is the algebraic direct sum of two closed linear subspaces then it is their topological direct sum.

Our notation is pretty standard. Linear spaces are over a fixed field, either the real or complex numbers, denoted by  $R$ . For an index set  $\Phi$ ,  $R^\Phi$  represents the product indexed by points from  $\Phi$  of copies of  $R$ . Topological spaces are assumed Hausdorff.

2. Let  $F$  be a locally convex space. We call a locally convex space  $E$  an  $F_t$ -space if every closed linear map from  $E$  into  $F$  is continuous.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Any inductive limit of  $F_t$ -spaces is an  $F_t$ -space.*

**COROLLARY.** *Any finite product of  $F_t$ -spaces is an  $F_t$ -space.*

However, an infinite product (even if countable) of  $F_t$ -spaces need not be an  $F_t$ -space. If for some infinite index set  $\Phi$ ,  $(H, u) = R^\Phi$ , and  $F$  is the linear space  $H$

under its finest locally convex topology, then  $R$  is an  $F_t$ -space. As the identity map  $(H, u) \rightarrow F$  is closed but not continuous,  $(H, u) = R^\Phi$  is not an  $F_t$ -space.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *If  $F$  is sequentially complete and has a fundamental sequence of bounded sets, then any product of  $F_t$ -spaces is an  $F_t$ -space.*

**PROOF.** Let  $(B_n)$  be a fundamental sequence of closed absolutely convex bounded subsets of  $F$ . If  $F_n$  is the linear span of  $B_n$ , let  $v_n$  be the normable topology on  $F_n$  with the sequence  $(2^{-m}B_n: m = 1, 2, \dots)$  of sets as a base of neighbourhoods. Using the sequential completeness of  $F$ , one shows that each  $(F_n, v_n)$  is complete. If  $(F, v)$  is the inductive limit of  $((F_n, v_n))$  by the identity maps of  $(F_n, v_n)$  into  $F$ , then  $v$  is finer than the original topology of  $F$ .

Let  $f$  be a closed linear map from  $E = X(E_\gamma: \gamma \in \Phi)$  into  $F$ , where each  $E_\gamma$  is an  $F_t$ -space. For some finite subset  $\Phi_0$  of  $\Phi$ , if  $\gamma \in \Phi_1 = \Phi \setminus \Phi_0$ , then  $f(E_\gamma) = 0$ . Suppose no such  $\Phi_0$  exists. Then for a sequence  $(\gamma_n: n = 1, 2, \dots)$  of distinct members of  $\Phi$  there is a sequence  $(x_{\gamma_n})$  such that  $x_{\gamma_n} \in E_{\gamma_n}$  and  $f(x_{\gamma_n}) \neq 0$  for each  $n$ . Let  $L = X(Rx_{\gamma_n}: n = 1, 2, \dots)$ . As the graph of the restriction  $f_1$  of  $f$  to  $L$  is closed in  $LX(F, v)$ , it is continuous ([12], Theorem 2) and thus the set  $(f(x_{\gamma_n}): n = 1, 2, \dots)$  is bounded in  $F$ . For a positive integer  $N$  then,  $(f(x_{\gamma_n}): n = 1, 2, \dots) \subseteq B_N$ . One shows from this that  $f(L) \subseteq F_N$  and that the map  $f_1: L \rightarrow (F_N, v_N)$  is continuous. As each  $f(x_{\gamma_n}) \neq 0$ , there is a sequence  $(\lambda_n)$  from  $R$  such that the set  $(\lambda_n f(x_{\gamma_n}): n = 1, 2, \dots)$  is not bounded in the normed space  $(F_N, v_N)$ , though  $((\lambda_n x_{\gamma_n}))$  is bounded in  $L$  and  $f_1: L \rightarrow (F_N, v_N)$  is continuous. We then deduce that  $\Phi_0$  as above, exists. Thus  $f(\Sigma E_\gamma: \gamma \in \Phi_1) = 0$ . If  $f_2$  is the restriction of  $f$  to

$$H = X(E_\gamma: \gamma \in \Phi_1),$$

then  $f_2^{-1}(0)$  is closed in  $H$ , since the graph of  $f_2$  is closed in  $HXF$ . As  $\Sigma(E_\gamma: \gamma \in \Phi_1) (\subseteq f_2^{-1}(0))$  is dense in  $H$ , we then see that  $f(H) = 0$ .

Since by the Corollary of Theorem 2.1,  $X(E_\gamma: \gamma \in \Phi_0)$  is an  $F_t$ -space, the restriction of  $f$  to  $X(E_\gamma: \gamma \in \Phi_0)$  is continuous. The map  $f$  is therefore continuous on  $E$ , and  $E$  is an  $F_t$ -space.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $E$  be a locally convex space and  $E_0$  a linear subspace of codimension one. Then*

- (i) *if  $E$  is an  $F_t$ -space so is  $E_0$ ,*
- (ii) *if  $E_0$  is an  $F_t$ -space, so is  $E$ .*

**PROOF.** (i) Let  $f$  be a closed linear map from  $E_0$  into  $F$ . The closure  $G_1$  in  $EXF$  of the graph  $G$  of  $f$  is the graph of some linear extension  $f_1$  of  $f$ , since if

$(0, y) \in G_1$ , then  $(0, y) \in G_1 \cap (E_0XF) = G$  (because  $G$  is closed in  $E_0XF$ ), implying that  $y = 0$ . Either the domain of  $f_1$  is  $E_0$  or  $E$ . In the former case, if  $f_2$  is any linear extension of  $f_1 (= f)$  to  $E$ , the graph  $G_2$  of  $f_2$  can be put in the form  $G_1 + H$ , where  $H$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $EXF$ . Thus the graph of  $f_2$  is closed in  $EXF$ . As  $E$  is an  $F_t$ -space,  $f_2$  is continuous. This implies that  $f$  is continuous and that  $E_0$  is an  $F_t$ -space.

(ii) Either  $E_0$  is closed or dense in  $E$ . If  $E_0$  is closed in  $E$ , then  $E$  is topologically isomorphic to  $E_0XR$ , and thus if  $E_0$  is an  $F_t$ -space, so is  $E$ , since  $R$  is necessarily an  $F_t$ -space. Now suppose that  $E_0$  is dense in  $E$ .

If  $f: E \rightarrow F$  is a closed linear map, then the restriction  $f_0$  of  $f$  to  $E_0$  is continuous, being closed. By ([6], 5.5), the closure of the graph of  $f_0$  in  $EXF$ , is the graph of a continuous linear extension  $f_1$  of  $f$ . As the domain  $E_1$  of  $f_1$  is necessarily closed in  $E$ ,  $E_1 = E$ . The graph of the map  $f_1 - f$  is closed in  $EXF$  and therefore its null space (which contains  $E_0$ ) is closed in  $E$ . Thus  $f_1, f$  coincide on  $E$ ,  $f$  is continuous and  $E$  is an  $F_t$ -space.

PROPOSITION 2.1 clearly extends by induction to the situation where  $E_0$  is any subspace of finite codimension in  $E$ .

THEOREM 2.3. *If  $F$  is as in Theorem 2.2, then any locally convex space is topologically isomorphic to a closed linear subspace of some  $F_t$ -space.*

PROOF. For such  $F$ , let the topology  $v$  be as defined in the proof of Theorem 2.2. Any closed linear map from a Banach space into  $(F, v)$  is continuous ([12], Theorem 2). A Banach space is therefore an  $F_t$ -space for such  $F$ , and by Theorem 2.2, so is any product of Banach spaces.

Given a locally convex space  $E$ , there is a product  $H$  of Banach spaces such that  $E$  is (topologically isomorphic to) a subspace of  $H$ . By Proposition 2.1 (i), any subspace of  $H$  of codimension one is an  $F_t$ -space. Let  $E_1$  be an algebraic supplement of  $E$  in  $H$ , and let  $(e_\gamma: \gamma \in \Omega)$  be a Hamel basis for  $E_1$ . If for each  $\lambda$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $E_\lambda$  is the linear span of  $E$  and  $(e_\gamma: \gamma \in \Omega, \gamma \neq \lambda)$ , then  $E_\lambda$  is an  $F_t$ -space. Moreover,  $E = \bigcap (E_\lambda: \lambda \in \Omega)$ . One then shows using a technique of Y. Komura ([7], Theorem 1.1) that the map  $f$  from  $E$  into  $X(E_\gamma: \gamma \in \Omega)$  defined as follows: for each  $x$  in  $E$ ,  $f(x) = (x_\alpha)$  where  $x_\alpha = x$  for all  $\alpha$  in  $\Omega$ , is a topological isomorphism, and that  $f(E)$  is closed in  $X(E_\gamma: \gamma \in \Omega)$ .

COROLLARY. *If  $F$  is as in Theorem 2.2 and has infinite dimension, then a closed subspace of an  $F_t$ -space need not be an  $F_t$ -space.*

PROOF. On such  $F$  there can be defined a Hausdorff locally convex topology  $v$  strictly coarser than the original topology of  $F$ . Clearly,  $(F, v)$  is not an  $F_t$ -space, but by Theorem 2.3, it can be embedded as a closed linear subspace of some  $F_t$ -space.

Given a locally convex space  $F$ , we say that a locally convex space  $F_1$  is a  $D_r(F_t)$ -space if for each  $F_t$ -space  $E$ , any closed linear one-to-one map of  $F_1$  onto  $E$  is open.

Clearly, a  $D_r(F_t)$ -space remains so for all coarser Hausdorff locally convex topologies.

THEOREM 2.4. *A locally convex space  $(F_1, v)$  is a  $D_r(F_t)$ -space if and only if for each  $F_t$ -space  $E$ , any closed linear map from  $E$  into  $(F_1, v)$  is continuous.*

PROOF. One part is obvious; now for the other. Let  $(F_1, v)$  be a  $D_r(F_t)$ -space and  $f$  a closed linear map from an  $F_t$ -space  $E$  into  $(F_1, v)$ .

Since  $f$  is closed and linear, for some Hausdorff locally convex topology  $u$  say, on  $F_1$  coarser than  $v$ , the map  $f$  from  $E$  into  $(F_1, u)$  is continuous. Let  $w$  be the finest locally convex topology on  $F_1$  such that  $f$  is continuous. Thus  $u \leq w$  and one shows that since  $E$  is an  $F_t$ -space, so is  $(F_1, w)$ . As  $u \leq w$  and  $(F_1, u)$  is Hausdorff, the graph of the identity map on  $F_1$  is closed in  $(F_1, u) \times (F_1, w)$ . Since  $u \leq v$ , this graph is also closed in  $(F_1, v) \times (F_1, w)$ . Because  $(F_1, v)$  is a  $D_r(F_t)$ -space and  $(F_1, w)$  is an  $F_t$ -space, the identity map from  $(F_1, v)$  onto  $(F_1, w)$  is open. Thus  $v \leq w$ , and as the map  $f$  from  $E$  into  $(F_1, w)$  is continuous, it is also continuous when  $F_1$  is considered under the coarser topology  $v$ .

If  $F$  is allowed to range over all Banach spaces, then the  $F_t$ -spaces are the  $t$ -spaces i.e. barrelled spaces (see [8], Theorem 2.2). Theorem 2.2 then shows (the well known fact) that a product of  $t$ -spaces is a  $t$ -space and Theorem 2.4 is a characterization of the range spaces for which the closed graph theorem holds for linear maps from  $t$ -spaces.  $F$  may also be allowed to range over all strict inductive limits of sequences of Banach spaces; the  $F_t$ -spaces are then the  $\tau$ -spaces of [4]. All the above theory goes through in this case and similarly when  $F$  ranges over the strong duals of metrizable locally convex spaces. The generalized strict inductive limit of a sequence of Banach spaces has a fundamental sequence of bounded sets, but need not be complete. The technique of proof of Theorem 2.2 extends to this situation. Such  $F_t$ -spaces are looked at in [5], where an attempt is made to describe the topology of such a space in terms of subsets, in a manner analogous to that of a  $t$ -space.

Now, allow  $F$  vary over all generalized  $LF$ -spaces (see [6], p. 218, problem C). By ([12], Theorem 2), every inductive limit of second category locally convex spaces is an  $F_t$ -space, and by an application of ([8], Theorem 2.2), every  $F_t$ -space is a  $t$ -space. Let us look at ([6], p. 195, problem D).  $G^*$  is an  $F_t$ -space with a closed linear subspace  $H^0$  which is not an  $F_t$ -space, since it is not a  $t$ -space.  $G/H$  is a generalized  $LF$ -space which is not complete. As  $G/H$  is a  $t$ -space which is not  $B_r$ -complete, there is a strictly coarser  $t$ -space topology  $v$  say, on  $G/H$ . As the identity map  $(G/H, v) \rightarrow G/H$  is closed but not continuous, the  $t$ -space  $(G/H, v)$  is not an  $F_t$ -space. Y. Komura ([7], p. 155) gave an example of a locally convex space which is not bornological but has a linear subspace of codimension one which is an inductive limit of Banach spaces. By an application of Proposition 2.1 (ii), we then see that an  $F_t$ -space need not be an inductive limit of normed linear spaces.

With  $F$  as above (i.e. a generalized  $LF$ -space), every generalized  $LF$ -space is a  $D_r(F_t)$ -space, and so is any  $B_r$ -complete locally convex space, since each  $F_t$ -space is a  $t$ -space. A sequentially complete locally convex space  $(E, u)$  with a fundamental sequence of bounded sets is necessarily a  $D_r(F_t)$ -space for, there is a topology  $v$  on  $E$  finer than  $u$  such that  $(E, v)$  is the generalized strict inductive limit of a sequence of Banach spaces (see the first paragraph of the proof of Theorem 2.2). In particular, the strong dual of any metrizable locally convex space is a  $D_r(F_t)$ -space.

In the discussion above, one might similarly allow  $F$  vary over all Souslin spaces (in the sense of [13]).

3. A locally convex space  $E$  is called a *closed graph space* or more shortly a *CG-space* if every closed linear map on  $E$  (i.e. every linear map  $E \rightarrow E$  with graph closed in  $EXE$ ) is continuous.

A  $B_r$ -complete  $t$ -space is a *CG-space*. In particular every Frechet space is a *CG-space*, and so is  $R^\Phi$  for each index set  $\Phi$ . Any generalized  $LF$ -space is a *CG-space* ([12], Theorem 2). By [13], the distribution spaces  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}', \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}', \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}', \mathcal{O}_M, \mathcal{O}'_M, \mathcal{O}_c, \mathcal{O}'_c$  are *CG-spaces*. A linear space is a *CG-space* under its finest locally convex topology, since every linear map on such a space is continuous.

A normed linear space may be a *CG-space* even if it is not complete. For if  $(E, u)$  is the sequence space  $l^1$  and  $u^{00}$  is the finest locally convex topology on  $E$  coarser than  $u$ , then the graph of a closed linear map  $f$  on  $(E, u^{00})$  is necessarily

closed in  $(E, u)X(E, u)$ . Therefore  $f$  is continuous on  $(E, u)$  and we deduce from this that  $f$  is continuous on  $(E, u^{00})$ .

The dual of a Frechet space is  $B_r$ -complete ([12], p. 9) under the topology of compact convergence, but need not be a  $t$ -space.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The dual of a Frechet-space is a CG-space under the topology of compact convergence.*

**PROOF.** Let  $E$  be a Frechet space with dual  $E'$ . Let  $\sigma(E', E)$ ,  $\tau(E', E)$  respectively be the weak and Mackey topologies on  $E'$  relative to  $E$  and  $v$  the topology on  $E'$  of compact convergence. Then  $\sigma(E'E) \leq v \leq \tau(E'E)$ . Let  $f: (E', v) \rightarrow (E', v)$  be a closed linear map. The graph of  $f$  is necessarily closed in  $(E', \sigma(E'E))X(E', \sigma(E'E))$ .

If  $(U_n)$  is a fundamental sequence of closed absolutely convex neighbourhoods of the origin in  $E$ , then their polar sets  $(U_n^0)$  in  $E'$  are  $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact and  $E' = \bigcup (E'_n: n = 1, 2, \dots)$ , where  $E'_n$  is the linear span of  $U_n^0$ . For each  $n$ , the sets  $(2^{-m}U_n^0: m = 1, 2, \dots)$  form a base of neighbourhoods in a normable topology  $u_n$  on  $E'_n$  and each  $(E'_n, u_n)$  is complete. Let  $(E', u)$  be the inductive limit of the Banach spaces  $(E'_n, u_n)$  by the identity maps  $(E'_n, u_n) \rightarrow E'$ . The graph of the restriction of  $f$  to each  $(E'_n, u_n)$  is closed in  $(E'_n, u_n)X(E', u)$  and therefore each restriction of  $f: (E'_n, u_n) \rightarrow (E', u)$  is continuous and hence bounded. For each  $n$  then there is  $m(n)$  such that

$$f(U_n^0) \subseteq U_{m(n)}^0.$$

Now consider the map  $f$  on  $(E', \sigma(E', E))$ . The graph  $G_n$  of the restriction  $f_n$  of  $f$  to  $U_n^0$  is closed in the compact Hausdorff space

$$W_n = U_n^0 X U_{m(n)}^0.$$

Let  $P_n, P_{m(n)}$  be the projection maps of  $W_n$  onto  $U_n^0, U_{m(n)}^0$  respectively. The restriction  $P_{G_n}$  of  $P_n$  to  $G_n$  is continuous one-to-one and must then be a homeomorphism, since  $G_n$  is compact. As  $f_n = P_{m(n)} \circ P_{G_n}^{-1}$ , each  $f_n$  is continuous.

The finest locally convex topology on  $E'$  coinciding with  $\sigma(E', E)$  on each  $U_n^0$  is  $v$ , and the map  $f: (E', v) \rightarrow (E', \sigma(E', E))$  is continuous (see [2], p. 16, Ex. B). This implies that  $f: (E', v) \rightarrow (E', v)$  is continuous and that  $(E', v)$  is a CG-space.

**COROLLARY.** *The dual of a Frechet space is a CG-space under the Mackey topology.*

**PROOF.** In the notation of the proof of Theorem 3.1, if  $h$  is a closed linear map

on  $(E', \tau(E', E))$ , the graph of  $h$  is necessarily closed in  $(E', v) \times (E', v)$ . By Theorem 3.1, the map  $h$  is continuous on  $(E', v)$ . This implies that  $h$  is continuous on  $(E', \tau(E', E))$  (see [6], 21.6).

By the technique above one can show that the closed graph theorem holds for linear maps from the Mackey dual of a Frechet space to another.

**PROPOSITION 3.1** *Let  $E$  be a linear space.*

(i) *If  $u, v$  are respectively the weak and Mackey topologies on  $E$  with the same dual, then  $(E, u)$  is a CG-space if and only if  $(E, v)$  is a CG-space.*

(ii) *If  $(u_\alpha: \alpha \in \psi)$  is a set of topologies on  $E$  each making it a CG-space and the finest locally convex topology  $u$  on  $E$  coarser than all the  $u_\alpha$ , is Hausdorff then  $(E, u)$  is a CG-space.*

(iii) *If  $u < v$  are topologies on  $E$  such that  $(E, u), (E, v)$  are CG-spaces, then  $(E, u) \times (E, v)$  is not a CG-space.*

**PROOF.** (i) By ([6], 21.4), a linear map  $f: (E, u) \rightarrow (E, u)$  is continuous if and only if it is continuous as a map  $(E, v) \rightarrow (E, v)$ .

(i) now follows since the graph of  $f$  is closed in  $(E, u) \times (E, u)$  if and only if it is closed in  $(E, v) \times (E, v)$  ([6], 17.1).

(ii) A closed linear map  $f$  on  $(E, u)$  is also a closed map on  $(E, u_\alpha)$  and this implies that for all  $\alpha$  in  $\psi$ , the map  $f: (E, u_\alpha) \rightarrow (E, u)$  is continuous. As  $(E, u)$  is the inductive limit of  $(E, u_\alpha)$  by the identity maps  $(E, u_\alpha) \rightarrow E$ , we deduce that the map  $f$  is continuous on  $(E, u)$  and that  $(E, u)$  is a CG-space.

(iii) Let  $f(x, y) = (y, x)$  be a map on  $(F, w) = (E, u) \times (E, v)$ . As  $f: (F, w) \rightarrow (E, u) \times (E, u)$  is continuous, its graph is closed in  $(F, w) \times (F, w)$ . It is not difficult to see that the map  $f$  on  $(F, w)$  is not continuous and thus  $(F, w)$  is not a CG-space.

If  $(E, u)$  is a Frechet Montel space of infinite dimension and  $v$  is the finest locally convex topology on  $E$ , then the product space  $(E, u) \times (E, v)$  is a complete bornological Montel space, which by Proposition 3.1 (iii) is not a CG-space. If instead we consider the situation where  $(E, v)$  is a Banach space of infinite dimension, and  $u$  is the weak topology associated with  $v$ , then by looking at  $(E, u) \times (E, v)$ , we deduce from Proposition 3.1 (i) and (iii) that a locally convex space  $(F, w_1)$  may be a CG-space whereas  $(F, w_2)$  is not, even if  $w_1 < w_2$  and  $(F, w_1)' = (F, w_2)'$ . Also in this case,  $(F, w_1), (F, w_2)$  could be CG-spaces and for some locally convex topology between  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ ,  $F$  is not a CG-space. These indicate that unlike the situation of  $B_r$ -complete spaces, a successful study of CG-spaces by means of duality theory of locally convex spaces can hardly be expected.

Lemma 2 of [3] is still valid with "Banach spaces" replaced by "CG-spaces". As an example, let  $(r_i: i = 1, 2, \dots)$  be a strictly increasing sequence of real numbers such that for some  $k$  and all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq r_i < k$ . If  $(E_i, u_i)$  is the sequence space  $l^{r_i}$ , then

$$E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_i \subset \dots \subset l^k.$$

Put  $E = \bigcup_i E_i$  and let  $(E, u)$  be the inductive limit of  $((E_i, u_i))$  by the identity maps  $(E_i, u_i) \rightarrow E$ . The topology  $u$  is finer than that induced on  $E$  by  $l^k$  and therefore  $(E, u)$  is Hausdorff.  $(E, u)$ ,  $l^k$  and all  $(E_i, u_i)$  are CG-spaces. Therefore if  $f$  is a closed linear map on

- (a)  $l^k$  such that  $f(E) \subseteq E$  then the restriction of  $f$  to  $(E, u)$  is continuous,
- (b)  $(E, u)$  such that for some  $N$ ,  $f(E_N) \subseteq E_N$ , then the restriction of  $f$  to  $(E_N, u_N)$  is continuous.

A closed linear subspace  $E_1$  of a Hilbert space  $E$  has a closed algebraic supplement in  $E$ ; similarly if for index set  $\Phi$ ,  $E = R^\Phi$  or if  $E$  is a linear space under its finest locally convex topology. But this does not hold for arbitrary Banach spaces. For, let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$  and let  $(E, u) = l^p$ . Then there is a closed linear subspace  $E_1$  of  $(E, u)$  which has no closed algebraic supplement. For such  $E_1$ , there is a  $u$ -closed linear subspace  $E_2$  of  $E$  such that  $E_1 \cap E_2 = (0)$  and  $E_0 = E_1 + E_2$  is dense in  $(E, u)$  ([10], p. 77).

LEMMA 3.1. *If a locally convex space  $E$  is the algebraic direct sum of two closed linear subspaces  $E_1, E_2$  then for each  $i = 1, 2$ , the graph of the projection map  $P_i: E \rightarrow E_i$  is closed in  $E \times E$ .  $E$  is the topological direct sum of  $E_1, E_2$  if and only if the maps  $P_1, P_2$  are continuous.*

THEOREM 3.2. *If a CG-space is the algebraic direct sum of two closed linear subspaces  $E_1, E_2$ , then  $E$  is the topological direct sum of  $E_1, E_2$ . Cf ([9], Corollary 2.1).*

COROLLARY. *Let  $E$  be a CG-space which is complete. If  $E_1, E_2$  are closed linear subspaces of  $E$  such that  $E_1 \cap E_2 = (0)$  and  $E_0 = E_1 + E_2$  is dense in  $E$ , then under the induced topology,  $E_0$  is the topological direct sum of  $E_1, E_2$  if and only if  $E_0 = E$ .*

PROOF.  $E_1, E_2$  are complete and so is the dense subspace  $E_0$  of  $E$  if  $E_0$  is the topological direct sum of  $E_1, E_2$ . The converse assertion follows immediately from the theorem.



Thus by the Corollary above, the normed linear space  $E_0$  referred to in the paragraph preceding Lemma 3.1, is not a CG-space.

By Proposition 3.1. (iii), the topological direct sum of two CG-spaces need not be a CG-space.  $B_r$ -complete  $t$ -spaces form an important class of CG-spaces; it is yet unknown if the topological direct sum of two such spaces is  $B_r$ -complete.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $(E, u)$  be the topological direct sum of two  $B_r$ -complete locally convex spaces  $(E_1, u_1)$  and  $(E_2, u_2)$ . If there is a Hausdorff  $t$ -space topology  $v$  on  $E$  strictly coarser than  $u$ , then  $v$  induces topologies  $v_1, v_2$  respectively on  $E_1, E_2$  such that  $v_1 < u_1$  and  $v_2 < u_2$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $u_1 = v_1$ , then  $(E_1, v_1)$  is complete, being  $B_r$ -complete. Thus  $E_1$  is closed in  $(E, v)$ ; let  $k: E \rightarrow E/E_1$  be the quotient map. The restriction  $k_2: (E_2, v_2) \rightarrow (E, v)/E_1$  of  $k$  to  $E_2$  is continuous one-to-one and onto. As  $(E_2, u_2)$  is  $B_r$ -complete and  $(E, v)/E_1$  is a Hausdorff  $t$ -space, the map  $k_2$  is open and one uses this to show that  $u = v$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.** *If  $(E_1, u_1)$  is a  $B_r$ -complete  $t$ -space and for some index set  $\Phi$ ,  $(E_2, u_2) = R^\Phi$ , then the topological direct sum  $(E, u)$  of  $(E_1, u_1)$  and  $(E_2, u_2)$  is  $B_r$ -complete.*

**PROOF.** The space  $(E, u)$  is a  $t$ -space. Since there is no Hausdorff locally convex topology on  $E_2$  strictly coarser than  $u_2$ , we deduce from Lemma 3.2 that on  $E$  there is no Hausdorff  $t$ -space topology strictly coarser than  $u$ . This implies that the  $t$ -space  $(E, u)$  is  $B_r$ -complete.

Cf. ([1], Proposition 5 and [9], Theorem 2.1).

Let us conclude with a remark that throws light on Theorem 5 of [14]. As the Banach sequence spaces  $l^2, c_0$  are algebraically isomorphic, there are two Banach space topologies  $v_1, v_2$  on some linear space  $H$  such that  $l^2(c_0)$  is topologically isomorphic to  $(H_1, v_1)$   $((H, v_2))$ . Let  $u_1, u_2$  be distinct topologies each making a linear space  $E$  a  $B_r$ -complete  $t$ -space. If  $u_3$  is the finest locally convex (= finest linear) topology on  $E$  coarser than both  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , then  $(E, u_3)$  is not Hausdorff. For otherwise, the graph of the identity map on  $E$  would be closed in  $(E, u_1) \times (E, u_2)$  implying that  $u_1 = u_2$ .

#### REFERENCES.

1. J. W. Baker, *Topological groups and the closed graph theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. **42** (1967), 217-225.
2. D. J. H. Garling, *A generalized inductive limit topology for vector spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **14** (1964), 1-28.

3. Jesus Gil De Lamadrid, *Some simple applications of the closed graph theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 509–510.
4. S.O. Iyahan, *The domain space in a closed graph theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **2** (1970), 19–22.
5. S. O. Iyahan, *The domain space in a closed graph theorem II*, To appear.
6. J. L. Kelley and I. Namioka, *Linear Topological Spaces*, Van Nostrand New York 1963.
7. Y. Komura, *On linear topological spaces*, Kumamoto J. Sci. Ser. A, **5** (1962), 148–157.
8. M. Mahowald, *Barrelled spaces and the closed graph theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. **36** (1961), 108–110.
9. N. Mochizuki, *On fully complete spaces*, Tôhoku Math. J. **13** (1961), 485–490.
10. F. J. Murray, *Quasi-complements and closed projections in reflexive Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1945), 77–95.
11. K. K. Oberai, *Spectrum of a spectral operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1966), 325–331.
12. A. P. Robertson and W. Robertson, *On the closed graph theorem*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **3** (1956), 9–12.
13. L. Schwartz, *Sur le théorème du graphe fermé*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B **263** (1966), A602–A605.
14. E. R. Steiner, *Lattices of normal topologies*, Portugal. Math. **24** (1965), 7–12.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN  
IBADAN, NIGERIA